A woman who is healthy at the time of conception is more likely to have a successful pregnancy and a healthy child. We reviewed published evidence and present new data from low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries on the timing and importance of preconception health for subsequent maternal and child health. We describe the extent to which pregnancy is planned, and whether planning is linked to preconception health behaviours. Observational studies show strong links between health before pregnancy and maternal and child health outcomes, with consequences that can extend across generations, but awareness of these links is not widespread. Poor nutrition and obesity are rife among women of reproductive age, and differences between high-income and low-income countries have become less distinct, with typical diets falling far short of nutritional recommendations in both settings and especially among adolescents. Several studies show that micronutrient supplementation starting in pregnancy can correct important maternal nutrient deficiencies, but effects on child health outcomes are disappointing. Other interventions to improve diet during pregnancy have had little effect on maternal and newborn health outcomes. Comparatively few interventions have been made for preconception diet and lifestyle. Improvements in the measurement of pregnancy planning have quantified the degree of pregnancy planning and suggest that it is more common than previously recognised. Planning for pregnancy is associated with a mixed pattern of health behaviours before conception. We propose novel definitions of the preconception period relating to embryo development and actions at individual or population level. A sharper focus on intervention before conception is needed to improve maternal and child health and reduce the growing burden of non-communicable diseases. Alongside continued actions at individual or population level. A sharper focus on intervention before conception is needed to improve maternal and child health and reduce the growing burden of non-communicable diseases. Alongside continued efforts to reduce smoking, alcohol consumption, and obesity in the population, we call for heightened awareness of the importance of preconception health, particularly regarding diet and nutrition. Importantly, health professionals should be alerted to ways of identifying women who are planning a pregnancy.

Introduction

Health of women around the time of conception, once a neglected topic, is now a focus of increasing interest, reflected in several reports from national23 and international health agencies.4 This Series on preconception health makes the case for preconception health as a key determinant of pregnancy success and next generation health, drawing on evidence across clinical, biological, social, and policy fields. In this report, we follow three lines of enquiry. First, we review the evidence linking preconception health, particularly nutritional status, to pregnancy and birth outcomes, including analysis of the few cohort studies to have recruited women before pregnancy in low, middle, and high-income countries (appendix).3,4 and we survey data on the nutrition of a nationally representative sample of women in a high-income country (the UK).5 Using these data, we assess how well women are prepared, in health terms, for pregnancy. Second, we assess the extent to which intervention during pregnancy can mitigate the effect of preconception risk behaviours by reviewing systematic reviews of dietary and lifestyle interventions that started in pregnancy (appendix). Third, efforts to improve preconception health can be aimed at a population level, irrespective of any pregnancy planning, and can be targeted more specifically at women who are planning for pregnancy.41

Key messages

- Health before conception is strongly linked to the outcome of pregnancy; life-course research pin-points the preconception period as crucial for health across generations.
- The preconception period should be redefined according to (1) the biological perspective—days to weeks before embryo development, (2) the individual perspective—a conscious intention to conceive, typically weeks to months before pregnancy occurs, and (3) the public health perspective—longer periods of months or years to address preconception risk factors, such as diet and obesity.
- Many women of reproductive age in low, middle, and high-income countries will not be prepared nutritionally for pregnancy.
- Micronutrient supplementation started in pregnancy can correct important maternal nutrient deficiencies, but it is not sufficient to fundamentally improve child health; dietary interventions in pregnancy can limit weight gain, but they are also insufficient in improving pregnancy outcomes.
- The preconception period presents a period of special opportunity for intervention; the rationale is based on lifecourse epidemiology, developmental (embryo) programming around the time of conception, maternal motivation, and disappointment with interventions starting in pregnancy.
- Improved measurement shows that pregnancy planning is more common than previously recognised in low, middle, and high-income countries.
- Identification of people contemplating pregnancy provides a window of opportunity to improve health before conception, while population-level initiatives to reduce the determinants of preconception risks, such as obesity and smoking, irrespective of pregnancy planning, are essential to improve outcomes.
planning for pregnancy. We therefore review what is known about the extent of planning for pregnancy, including new data from a low-income country (Malawi) on how to measure pregnancy planning.26 A host of social, medical, and environmental conditions can influence pregnancy outcomes, including genetic disorders, pre-existing physical and mental health conditions, teratogens, and domestic abuse to name a few. We recognise their importance, but review of these conditions is outside the scope of this paper. The importance of the father’s preconception health is addressed in the second Series paper whereas the third Series paper reviews the targeting of intervention strategies to improve preconception health.

**Preconception risk factors in perspective**

Life-course epidemiology provides a useful perspective for examination of preconception factors and their effects on maternal, fetal, and child health by consideration of the timing and duration of exposures and their potential long-term or latent effects.37 The relationship of exposures to outcomes can be considered in terms of critical periods, sensitive periods, and cumulative effects. For example, 2–3 months before and after conception is a critical period for optimising gamete function and early placental development. In this period, folic acid supplementation, for example, can reduce the risk of neural tube defects by as much as 70%.12 Other benefits of folic acid supplementation during periconception might include decreased risk of pre-eclampsia, miscarriage, low birthweight, small for gestational age birth, stillbirth, neonatal death, and autism in children.16–18 The consequences of maternal folic acid deficiency also fit a critical period model in which repletion after an undetermined timepoint does not rectify structural impairments to developing brain structures. In experimental rodent models, dietary restriction of iron from the beginning of gestation can induce a 40–50% decrease in brain iron 10 days after birth17 and preconception zinc deficiency compromises fetal and placental growth and neural tube closure.19 Adolescence might represent a particularly sensitive period as unhealthy life-style behaviours—eg, smoking, poor diet, and eating disorders—often originate in the teenage years. These preconception risk factors can set patterns that have a cumulative effect on health into adulthood and for future generations, as shown by mounting evidence of the long-term effects of poor maternal nutrition and obesity for the child.19

**Maternal body composition, nutrition, and life-style factors**

Substantial risks for maternal and child health are associated with mothers who are underweight or overweight. An analysis of adult body-mass index (BMI) in 200 countries from 1975 to 2014 with over 19 million participants found that the age-standardised global proportion of underweight women (BMI <18·5 kg/m²) decreased from 15% to 10%; South Asia had the highest proportion of underweight women with an estimated 24% in 2014.20 Although the proportion of women who are underweight has decreased, the proportion of obese women globally (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) has risen from 6% to 15% from 1975 to 2014.21 In many low, middle, and high-income countries, up to 50% of women are overweight or obese when they become pregnant.22,23 Obesity is associated with increased risk of most major adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes: the inability to conceive, complications of pregnancy (eg, pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes) and delivery (eg, macrosomia), congenital anomalies, stillbirth, low birthweight, unsuccessful breastfeeding, and even maternal death.22–25 The global increase in obesity among men (3–11% between 1975 and 2014)26 is not irrelevant; paternal obesity has been linked to impaired fertility by affecting sperm quality and quantity27 and is associated with increased chronic disease risk in offspring.28 The cumulative effect of maternal and paternal obesity on the risk of obesity in future generations has been proposed by several studies29 and causal pathways involving interaction between genetic, epigenetic, and environmental factors are emerging (see the second paper of this Series).

Although the benefits of preconception weight loss remain to be established through clinical trials, observational studies indicate the probable effects of preconception weight loss on pregnancy outcomes. In a population-based study30 in Canada including 226 958 women (64% normal weight, 20% overweight, and 12% obese) with singleton pregnancies, a 10% lower preconception BMI was associated with clinically meaningful risk reduction in pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, preterm delivery, macrosomia, and stillbirth. Also, women undergoing bariatric surgery at least 2 years before conception have considerably lower risk of gestational diabetes, hypertensive disorders, and large-for-gestational-age neonates than women of similar BMI who had no bariatric surgery (although this is partially offset by a higher risk of neonates who were small for their gestational age).31,32 Higher amounts of preconception physical activity were associated with lower risk of gestational diabetes (odds ratio [OR] 0·45, 95% CI 0·28–0·75 in seven cohorts, 34 929 pregnancies)33 and pre-eclampsia (relative risk [RR] 0·65, 95% CI 0·47–0·89, in five studies, 10 317 pregnancies).34 Walking at a brisk pace for 4 h or more per week before pregnancy was also associated with lower risk of gestational diabetes.35 The success of a life-style intervention in reducing weight retention postpartum36 shows that preparation for health in the next pregnancy can begin straight after the previous pregnancy.

Diet and nutrition before pregnancy might modify maternal and perinatal outcomes via effects on BMI (discussed previously) or other nutritional factors, including micronutrient deficiencies. WHO estimates
that around 2 billion people are deficient in micro-nutrients, with women being at particular risk because of menstruation and the high metabolic demands of pregnancy.7,8 Globally, maternal undernutrition and its consequences, including maternal vitamin A and zinc deficiency, fetal growth restriction, childhood stunting and wasting, together with suboptimal breastfeeding, is estimated to account for 3·1 million child deaths annually, and 45% of all child deaths in 2011.9 A comprehensive review10 of nutrition among adolescent girls and women of reproductive age in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) concluded that despite the reduction in prevalence of underweight mothers, dietary deficiencies (including iron, vitamin A, iodine, zinc, and calcium) remain prevalent.10 A typical diet in high-income countries, characterised by a high intake of red meat, refined grains, refined sugars, and high-fat dairy, is also lacking in several important nutrients (including magnesium, iodine, calcium, and vitamin D).11,12

Our analysis in the UK shows that many women of reproductive age will not be nutritionally prepared for pregnancy, since they do not meet even the lower reference nutrient intake (RNI) amounts, which applies especially to young women and mineral intake (table 1).77% of women aged 18–25 years had dietary intakes below RNI daily recommendations for iodine and 96% of women of reproductive age had intake of iron and folate below daily recommendations for pregnancy (data not shown). Adequate folate concentration in pregnancy (red blood cell folate concentration above 906 nmol/L) for prevention of neural tube defects is hard to achieve through diet alone.13 Folic acid supplements or fortified foods are effective alternatives. In a cohort of over 1·5 million women in China, folic acid supplementation 3 months before pregnancy (n=1182967) was associated with significantly lower risk of low birthweight (OR 0·74, 95% CI 0·71–0·78), miscarriage (OR 0·53, 0·52–0·54), stillbirth (OR 0·70, 0·64–0·77), and neonatal mortality (OR 0·70, 0·63–0·78) than in women who did not take folic acid before pregnancy (n=352009).14 In several countries (including Canada, Chile, Oman, Jordan, Costa Rica, South Africa, USA) a decrease in neural tube defects has been observed following mandatory folic acid fortification, typically of wheat flour or cereal grain products, in the country or region.15 A mild degree of iodine deficiency in pregnancy has been linked to lower intelligence quotients in offspring,16 although the balance between the benefit and risk from iodine supplementation before or during pregnancy remains unclear.17

Cohort studies have suggested that dietary patterns up to 3 years before pregnancy, characterised by high

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LNRI*</th>
<th>Non-pregnant women of reproductive age (by age at survey)</th>
<th>p value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (N=509)</td>
<td>Age 18–25 years (n=156, 32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dietary and lifestyle characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (SD)</td>
<td>26·0 (6·7)</td>
<td>25·1 (5·4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight or obese</td>
<td>49% (43–54)</td>
<td>41% (32–51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and vegetable consumption (&lt;5 serves per day)</td>
<td>77% (73–81)</td>
<td>91% (84,95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>26% (22–30)</td>
<td>33% (25–43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk alcohol intake‡</td>
<td>22% (18–26)</td>
<td>28% (19–38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage with diet-only intakes below LRNIs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A</td>
<td>250 µg/day</td>
<td>7% (5–9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B12</td>
<td>1·0 µg/day</td>
<td>1% (1–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate</td>
<td>100 µg/day</td>
<td>4% (3–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin</td>
<td>0·8 mg/day</td>
<td>14% (11–18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>400 mg/day§</td>
<td>9% (7–12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>70 µg/day</td>
<td>15% (11–19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>8·0 mg/day</td>
<td>30% (25–34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>2000 mg/day</td>
<td>29% (25–34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>40 µg/day</td>
<td>51% (47–56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>4 mg/day</td>
<td>4% (3–7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are % (95% CI). Means (SD) and percentages (95% CIs) are weighted to provide nationally representative results. Data are from the UK National diet and Nutrition Survey (UKDNS) (2008–2011) BMI=body mass index. LRNI=lower reference nutrient intake. *Micronutrient LRNIs are those recommended for reproductive age will not be nutritionally prepared for pregnancy, since they do not meet even the lower reference nutrient intake (RNI) amounts, which applies especially to young women and mineral intake (table 1).77% of women aged 18–25 years had dietary intakes below RNI daily recommendations for iodine and 96% of women of reproductive age had intake of iron and folate below daily recommendations for pregnancy (data not shown). Adequate folate concentration in pregnancy (red blood cell folate concentration above 906 nmol/L) for prevention of neural tube defects is hard to achieve through diet alone.13 Folic acid supplements or fortified foods are effective alternatives. In a cohort of over 1·5 million women in China, folic acid supplementation 3 months before pregnancy (n=1182967) was associated with significantly lower risk of low birthweight (OR 0·74, 95% CI 0·71–0·78), miscarriage (OR 0·53, 0·52–0·54), stillbirth (OR 0·70, 0·64–0·77), and neonatal mortality (OR 0·70, 0·63–0·78) than in women who did not take folic acid before pregnancy (n=352009).14 In several countries (including Canada, Chile, Oman, Jordan, Costa Rica, South Africa, USA) a decrease in neural tube defects has been observed following mandatory folic acid fortification, typically of wheat flour or cereal grain products, in the country or region.15 A mild degree of iodine deficiency in pregnancy has been linked to lower intelligence quotients in offspring,16 although the balance between the benefit and risk from iodine supplementation before or during pregnancy remains unclear.17

Cohort studies have suggested that dietary patterns up to 3 years before pregnancy, characterised by high
intake of fruit, vegetables, legumes, nuts, and fish, and low intake of red and processed meat, are associated with reduced risk of gestational diabetes,40-48 hypertensive disorders of pregnancy,49-51 and preterm birth.52 Since few people will plan a pregnancy 3 years in advance, this highlights the need for population-level interventions. In the UK and Australia, more than nine of ten young women reported consuming fewer than five fruit and vegetable portions daily (table 1 and table 2). As the diet of a young child is determined largely by the mother, this aspect has important implications for future child health.

Evidence for the effect of maternal smoking on health outcomes (including pregnancy loss, intrauterine growth restriction, and low birthweight) comes largely from studies initiated during, rather than before, pregnancy.53-55 Although no trials have been published that show reduction in smoking before conception improves these outcomes, indirect evidence of the effect at population level comes from introduction of smoke-free legislation in different countries, which has been associated with substantial reductions in preterm births (−10.4%, 95% CI −18.8 to −2.0, from four cohort studies with 1366862 pregnancies).56 Maternal alcohol consumption can result in a range of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders that result in physical, behavioural, and learning difficulties.57 Although discussion of alcohol consumption of any amount being safe during pregnancy is controversial, there is widespread public awareness that avoidance of both smoking and alcohol during pregnancy is important for health. Caffeine consumption during pregnancy has been associated with a reduction in birthweight of a similar proportion to that caused by alcohol, with a significant trend for a greater reduction in birthweight with higher caffeine intake.58 This relationship was consistent across all three trimesters, suggesting that cutting back on caffeine before conception could be beneficial. However, as with all preconception risk factors the scope for action at the individual level is limited by unplanned pregnancy, which in turn highlights the importance of cost-effective public health action (eg, minimum pricing of alcohol and smoke-free legislation) to reduce risk behaviours in the whole population, with additional benefit for women whose pregnancies are unplanned.

Since women are more likely to engage with health services once they are pregnant than beforehand, we considered whether birth outcomes can be improved through intervention during pregnancy to redress poor dietary patterns that were present before conception. In high-income countries, the obesity epidemic has dominated efforts to improve pregnancy outcomes. Our overview identified 20 systematic reviews of antenatal interventions with a dietary component, six confined to overweight or obese women (figure 1; appendix). These reviews, mainly of trials from high-income countries, provide high quality consistent evidence that dietary interventions (with or without exercise) during pregnancy can reduce gestational weight gain; however, an individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis59 of 36 randomised controlled trials with 12526 women of mixed BMI found an average reduction in gestational weight gain of only 0.7 kg (95% CI −0.92 to −0.48). Some reviews60,61 also reported that dietary intervention during pregnancy, with increased consumption of fibre, protein, fruit, and vegetables, led to reduction in dietary fat and energy intake. High quality trials published after these systematic reviews show similar effects on dietary behaviours. The LIMIT trial62 in Australia showed that a diet and physical activity intervention delivered to overweight and obese women increased their consumption of fruit, vegetables, legumes, fibre, and micronutrients, and reduced their energy intake.
sourced from saturated fat. The UPBEAT trial in the UK also showed a reduction in the consumption of processed foods and snack foods among obese women after diet and physical activity intervention. Both trials showed dietary behaviour change at 28 weeks and 36 weeks gestation, and the UPBEAT trial reported reduced infant adiposity 6 months postpartum. Although improved health behaviours and weight gain restriction should not be ignored due to the potential longer-term benefits, these interventions have had no significant effect on common adverse pregnancy outcomes, including gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, large for gestational age, or preterm births, however, the IPD meta-analysis reported a 9% reduction in caesarean section in women of all BMIs (OR 0.91, 95% CI 0.83–0.99). Because attempts to improve outcomes in obese women with the use of

### Figure 1: Meta-analyses of the effect of dietary behaviour change interventions (with or without physical activity elements) in pregnant women

Effect estimates of dietary behaviour change interventions (with or without physical activity components) in pregnant women. Each estimate is from a systematic review with meta-analysis.

A summary estimate has not been generated because some intervention studies are included in more than one meta-analysis. GL=glycaemic load. PA=Physical activity.
insulin-sensitising drugs have also been unsuccessful, attention is increasingly focused on the improvement of diet and prevention or reverse of obesity in the preconception period. Given the substantial time needed to reach a healthy weight, early intervention at a population level is vital to reduce obesity-related outcomes in pregnancy.

In LMICs, antenatal dietary interventions have generally focused on the problem of calorific and nutrient deprivation. A single trial in Mumbai found that women who ate a daily snack containing leafy green vegetables, fruit, and milk before and during pregnancy had reduced prevalence of gestational diabetes (7.3% in the intervention group compared with 12.4% in the control group). Several studies have examined the effect of antenatal multiple micronutrient supplementation on a range of health outcomes in high-risk populations in LMICs, but the findings are disappointing. Systematic reviews of trials of multiple micronutrient supplementation during pregnancy, including over 88,000 women, have consistently shown modest effects on increasing birthweight when compared with control groups receiving iron and folic supplementation only; however, these reviews have shown no improvement in childhood survival, growth, body composition, blood pressure, or respiratory or cognitive outcomes when comparing the intervention and control groups.

Distinctions between high-income countries and LMICs have become blurred because many LMICs have had a demographic and obstetric transition coupled with high-income lifestyles that foster obesity, whereas populations in high-income countries already dominated by obesity commonly have poor nutrition and specific micronutrient deficiencies that go unrecognised until pregnancy. Iron deficiency anaemia, for example, is the most common deficiency globally affecting around 2 billion people and 30–50% of pregnant women, including young women in high-income countries. Although iron supplementation in pregnancy reduces iron deficiency anaemia and improves haemoglobin concentrations at term, other benefits seem limited to a reduction in low birthweight. Vitamin D deficiency, increasingly common among pregnant women in high-income countries, can lead to bone mineral deficiency in the developing child and has been implicated in gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, low birthweight, and preterm birth but with less certainty. A subsequent trial of cholecalciferol supplementation during pregnancy showed that most women became vitamin D replete, but infant bone mineral content was not increased overall. Further studies, such as the SPRING trial of cholecalciferol supplementation during pregnancy, are awaited.

In summary, interventions to improve diet in pregnancy lead to modest reductions in gestational weight gain, but (with few exceptions) they have not improved important maternal or newborn health outcomes. Micronutrient supplementation starting in pregnancy can correct important maternal nutrient deficiencies with modest effects on increasing birthweight, but no subsequent improvement in child health outcomes. Explanations might include starting interventions after early critical

Figure 2: Challenges of improving preconception health

Typical levels of each preconception behaviour in young women in high-income countries (solid lines) and optimal behaviours before conception (dashed lines).
periods of fetal development or inadequate implement-
tation, dose, or adherence within this timeframe to
achieve substantial biological influence. In keeping
with this hypothesis, one of the few preconceptual
trials starting before conception found no effect on birthweight
unless it was provided at least 3 months before conception
and to women who were not underweight. To
explore adherence to preconception supplementation, we
analysed data from the Pune Rural Intervention in Young
Adolescents (PRIYA) study. PRIYA is a randomised
community-based trial of cyanocobalamin (vitamin B) supplementation
given to men and to young women before pregnancy. Adherence, assessed by pill counts,
in this non-pregnant trial population was consistently high
at around 80%. Although every effort should be made
to correct micronutrient deficiencies in women once
pregnant, there is a growing consensus that the greatest
gain will be achieved through a life-course approach or
continuum of improved nutrition in children, adolescents,
and young women contemplating pregnancy (see the
third paper of this Series).

**Defining the preconception period**
The preconception period is often defined as the
3 months before conception, probably because this is
the average time to conception for fertile couples. However, a
time period before conception can only be
identified after a woman has become pregnant. Some
definitions avoid this problem, for instance “a minimum
of one year prior to the initiation of any unprotected
sexual intercourse that could possibly result in a
pregnancy”, but cannot be applied practically.

We therefore propose three new definitions or
perspectives that relate to embryo development or point
to interventions at an individual or population level.
From a biological perspective, a critical period spans
the weeks around conception when gametes mature,
fertilisation occurs, and the developing embryo forms.
These events are the most sensitive to environmental
factors, such as the availability of macronutrients and
micronutrients, or exposure to smoking, alcohol, drugs,
or other teratogens. For prevention of neural tube defects,
a minimum of 4–6 weeks folic acid supplementation is
required to reach adequate concentrations before
neurulation begins 3 weeks after conception.

In relation to an individual, the preconception period
starts whenever a woman or couple decides they want to
have a baby because the time to conception is unknown.
Since about a third of fertile couples having regular
sex without contraception will conceive within one
month, optimising nutrition, including folic acid
supplementation, should coincide with the decision to
become pregnant. The preconception period might reflect
the time required by individuals to achieve desired health
outcomes in preparation for pregnancy, such as 6 or more
months to attain a healthy BMI. Maternal motivation to
improve health at this stage can be strong. In a pilot study, 65% of obese
women attending a family planning clinic to
have their contraceptive implant or uterine device removed
to become pregnant were willing to improve their
preconception health by deferring removal of contraception
for 6 months while they followed an intensive weight loss
plan. From a public health perspective, the preconception
period can relate to a sensitive phase in the lifecourse, such as
adolescence, when health behaviours affecting diet,
exercise, and obesity, along with smoking and drinking,
become established before the first pregnancy.

These perspectives can be combined into a conceptual
framework of the preconception period (figure 2). Benefits that can be achieved fairly rapidly, such as
adequate folate concentrations, are indicated at 3 months
before conception or whenever an individual first
intends to become pregnant. Conversely, substantial
weight loss takes months or years to achieve, whereas

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking (yes vs no)</th>
<th>SWS cohort</th>
<th>ALSWH cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not planning pregnancy</td>
<td>Not using contraception n=9932, 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=1285, 15%)*</td>
<td>(n=536, 7%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (yes vs no)</td>
<td>1·00 (ref)</td>
<td>1·00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption (yes vs no)</td>
<td>1·00 (ref)</td>
<td>0·70 (0·56–0·87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and vegetable consumption (&lt;5 vs ≥5 serves per day)</td>
<td>1·00 (ref)</td>
<td>1·01 (0·99–1·03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (&lt;30 vs ≥30 min/day)</td>
<td>1·00 (ref)</td>
<td>1·06 (1·01–1·11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-mass index (≥25 vs &lt;25 kg/m²)</td>
<td>1·00 (ref)</td>
<td>1·05 (1·00–1·10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine consumption (&gt;300 mg caffeine per day)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are relative risk (95% CI) using Poisson regression with robust variance, adjusted for maternal age, level of educational attainment and parity. The Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH) is a population-based study of women born in 1973–78 who have been surveyed every 3–4 years since 1996 (age 18–23 years). The Southampton Women’s Survey (SWS) recruited 12 583 non-pregnant women (20–34 years) between 1998 and 2002. When not pregnant, women in the SWS were asked whether they anticipated trying for a baby within the following year. Data about pregnancy within a year were then used to define four groups of women: not planning pregnancy and not pregnant, unintended pregnancy, intended pregnancy, and planning a pregnancy but not pregnant. N was taken from Survey 3, which was the first survey where women were asked about pregnancy intention.

**Table 3: Relative risk of diet and lifestyle behaviours according to pregnancy intention in the ALSWH and the SWS.**
Pregnancy planning for preconception health

Compelling evidence for early developmental programming, along with the disappointment from micronutrient supplements and dietary interventions in pregnancy, is shifting attention to the challenge of intervening before conception. Awareness of the importance of health before pregnancy, some level of pregnancy planning, and uptake of interventions before conception are distinct but related requirements for improving preconception health. Qualitative research has identified three groups: women with high levels of pregnancy planning who take up interventions, women who plan but describe themselves as having poor awareness of preconception actions, and women for whom the preconception period has little meaning. Different preconception care approaches are likely to be needed for each group.

Our analysis of new data from two preconception cohort studies shows mixed health behaviours reported in relation to pregnancy planning. Women trying for pregnancy when compared with those who were using contraception or not planning to become pregnant within the next year, were less likely to report smoking or drinking alcohol, reported lower amounts of caffeine consumption, had a higher BMI, reported lower amounts of physical activity, but had similar fruit and vegetable intake (table 3). These associations were robust to adjustment for maternal educational attainment, age, and parity. In the South Hampton Women’s Study, education had a significant effect on the association between pregnancy status and fruit and vegetable intake before pregnancy. Women educated beyond 16 years of age who were intentionally pregnant were more likely to report eating five portions of fruit and vegetables a day (65%) than those who did not become pregnant and were not planning to (57%); whereas no differences were seen between the same pregnancy intention groups in women who were educated up to 16 years of age only (46% in the intended pregnancy group vs 46% in the group with no pregnancy). This result suggests that more educated women might improve their diet once a decision has been made for pregnancy but less educated women do not, highlighting the effect of disadvantage on the ability of women to change their behaviours.

Although some studies suggest that awareness of preconception health and care is low, pregnancy planning appears relatively common, indicating a missed and unexploited opportunity for intervention. Pregnancy planning has usually been estimated in surveys, either by a single question (eg, Did you plan your pregnancy?) or by more detailed questioning to probe (variably) intentions, reactions to pregnancy, timing of pregnancy, and family size desires. The most influential survey the US National Survey of Family Growth categorises pregnancy as intended, mistimed, or unwanted—terms now widely adopted and included in the worldwide Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). A combination of all survey information has estimated that 60% of the 213 million pregnancies worldwide in 2012 were intended.

In the past 20 years, the growing complexity of family formation patterns worldwide, awareness of the need to accommodate women’s ambivalence, and the contribution of psychometric methods to measurement development have indicated the need for a more sophisticated measurement of pregnancy planning. The London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP) has been widely used, with nine validated language versions across seven countries and more in progress. Six questions produce a score (0–12), with higher scores indicating a more planned pregnancy. Use of the LMUP has shown that pregnancy planning at various levels of intensity is globally common particularly among pregnancies leading to birth. By providing a finer gradation of pregnancy planning the LMUP is more reliable than previous tools, opening the door to improved prediction of health outcomes associated with pregnancy intention. Despite the availability of this superior tool, the global standard remains the DHS, in which women are asked, “When you got pregnant, did you want to get pregnant at the time?” Women who respond yes are categorised as intended pregnancies, those who respond no are asked “Did you want to have a baby later on or did you not want any (more) children?” An answer of later defines the pregnancy as mistimed and no more as unwanted.

Figure 3: Comparison of women’s antenatal LMUP score

Responses to the DHS question completed at least one month (DHS1) and at least 12 months (DHS12) after birth. DHS=Demographic and Health Survey. LMUP=London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy.
In a cohort study of pregnant women in Malawi, we compared the LMUP scores reported during pregnancy with the DHS categorisation reported up to 16 months after. 45% of women scored ten or more on the LMUP antenatally, showing that pregnancy planning is a relevant concept in a rural, low-income setting. The estimated prevalence of intended pregnancies was higher with the use of the postnatal DHS question (69%, 95% CI 65–73) than the antenatal LMUP (40%, 95% CI 36–44) in the same group of 623 women at 1-year follow-up (figure 3). Previous studies have found that the same birth is reported as more intended as time passes, but these are the first data to document that this shift occurs within the first year postnatally. This result suggests a need for antenatal surveillance of pregnancy intention that could improve accuracy in assessing the scale of unplanned pregnancies and provide an opportunity to act antenatally to mitigate the adverse effects for the mother and child. A measure, such as the LMUP, would also be sensitive enough to monitor changes in the rate of unplanned pregnancy over time and across population subgroups. Most initiatives to reduce unplanned pregnancy, such as Family Planning 2020, rely on uptake of contraception as a proxy measure of effect, whereas the LMUP could provide a direct measure of the desired outcome.

The frequency of pregnancy planning identified by the LMUP in low, middle, and high-income countries suggests considerable scope for intervention before pregnancy; the challenge is to identify women who are planning a pregnancy. Asking a woman of reproductive age, “How many (more) children would you like to have and when?”, is being promoted, but the question is likely to have limited predictive validity. More nuanced measures that capture ambivalent intentions are required—eg, the Desire to Avoid Pregnancy (DAP) scale that is in development. Robust measures, such as the LMUP and DAP, are opening up a largely unexplored area of research into how people plan and prepare for pregnancy, the associated effects on health, and how health professionals can identify individuals planning a pregnancy.

Summary
A consistent picture is emerging of the importance of maternal health before conception and the key risk factors for adverse birth outcomes, one that blurs previous distinctions between low, middle, and high-income countries. A life-course model of critical periods, sensitive periods, and cumulative effects fits well with data linking preconception exposures to birth outcomes and risk of disease in later life. The adverse consequences of poor nutrition combined with obesity, rife in women of reproductive age, extend across generations. Dietary interventions starting in pregnancy can reduce weight gain and adiposity in obese women but have little effect on pregnancy outcomes, whereas the few benefits of multiple micronutrient supplementation in pregnancy appear to occur too late to fundamentally improve child health outcomes.

Novel definitions of the preconception period that relate to embryo development or to opportunities for intervention might be useful. Action to improve conditions around the crucial time of conception requires a more systematic approach to identify women planning a pregnancy, and efforts are underway. A healthy weight can take longer to achieve than dietary changes and should ideally become established during the sensitive period of adolescence when most women will not be planning pregnancy; this intervention requires a population-level approach. Generally, however, a degree of pregnancy planning is common in LMICs and high-income countries, offering considerable scope for intervention before pregnancy. Pregnancy planning is associated with an inconsistent pattern of reported health behaviours potentially due to low awareness of the importance of health before pregnancy and possible actions to take. To have a substantial impact on preconception health, a dual strategy is needed that improves nutritional status across the life-course and particularly during reproductive ages, while targeting all women who are thinking of conceiving. How this strategy might be achieved is considered in the third paper of this Series, which focuses on preconception care.
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